Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/431,469	ARMISTEAD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631
All Participants:	Status of Application:	· · ·
(1) <u>Marjorie A. Moran</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>James Haley</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 January 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	int's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Possible new matter and enablement	·	
Claims discussed: 37-44		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Mayorie a. Moran		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant)	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner stated that the after-final amendment filed 1/10/05 would not be entered as it contains a new issue which is new matter and would introduce a new enablement issue. The examiner suggested reciting making a crystal comprising coordinates set forth in the specification; e.g. on page 31.